Introduction Options: Depression & Cardiovascular Disease during Covid-19
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Abstract

An attempt to review links of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and depression and present appropriate treatment methods for handling depression in the COVID-19 pandemic is made. Although depression constitutes one of the major mental health challenges that humankind must encounter in the 21st century it remains undiagnosed and untreated in CVD patients. Its great influence on the progress of CVD led to its classification as a risk factor along with dyslipidemia, arterial hypertension, diabetes, obesity, substance use, sedentary lifestyle (poor diet, stress) making imperative the need for treatment methods. As during COVID 19 pandemic we witnessed the elevation of mental distress as involving both lifestyle choices and dealing with unprecedented life situations while inducing psychological distress or exacerbating pre-existing physical and mental health problems – depression could act both as a contributor to and as the result of CVD. A 4-step intervention program is suggested.
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Introduction

According to the World Health Organization, more than 264 million people of all age-groups worldwide are estimated to suffer from depression. Within a 10-year period (2015 vs 2005), the ratio of people suffering from depression has increased by more than 18%. Women regardless of nation, culture and ethnicity face a greater chance of experiencing depression [1]. Several studies have established the positive relationship between depression and cardiovascular disease (eg. coronary artery disease (CAD), peripheral artery disease (PAD), myocardial infarction (MI)). According to Correll et al., (2017)[2] depression is associated with increased CVD morbidity, and its prevalence ratio highly depends on CVD type. Based on Thombs et al., (2006) study [3], patients with MI present a 3-time higher risk to develop depression in comparison to the general population. In another study conducted by Tully & Baker (2012), almost 1 out of 3 patients who had undergone a coronary artery bypass graft surgery developed depression [4].

There seems to be a bidirectional relationship between depression and CVD: Depression also constitutes a high-risk factor for individuals to develop CVD in the future. Depression may either lead to cardiovascular issues (aetiology) or may be responsible for poor prognosis considering CVD treatment [5]. Yet it remains undiagnosed and untreated [2]. Although, there seems to be a world wide consensus on the relationship of depression and CVD [6], there are scarce results for the actual rates of screening for depression.

Clinicians worldwide still do not screen for depression in the initial assessment of CVD. One reason could be that there is no consensus which of the available screening tools should be used while the issue of the optimal screening tools cut-off is still open [7]. Another reason reported is that there is no evidence that routine screening actually improves the patient outcome [5][8]. It seems that screening to be beneficial has to be followed by a management protocol or system of care (e.g., a care management program) for the treatment of depression. Patient outcome (reduced cardiac symptoms, reduced cardiac events along with improved adherence, and improved blood pressure and lipids) has improved only when an intervention protocol has been followed [9][10][11].

An association between COVID-19 and cardiovascular disease is reported [12]. Pre-existing cardiovascular disease seems to be linked with worse outcomes and increased risk of death in patients with COVID-19, whereas COVID-19 itself can also induce myocardial injury, arrhythmia, acute coronary syndrome and venous thromboembolism. Potential drug–disease interactions affecting patients with COVID-19 and comorbid cardiovascular diseases are also becoming a serious concern. An important factor in the coexistence of COVID-19 and CVD
is that they both threaten mostly the same age group: although 40-65 years of age is the group reported to be infected by WHO more often, mortality rates are escalating with age, 60-87 remaining the group with the highest mortality risk. CVD risk for heart disease also increases with age. The prevalence of CVD, increases from about 40% in men and women 40-59 years of age to 70-75% in persons 60-79 years of age, and to 79-86% among those aged 80 years or older. Mortality rates from CVD, including coronary heart disease, heart failure, and stroke are nearly 2-fold higher in the 75-84 year age group compared to the 65-74 year age group [13][14]. In patients aged >65 years, depression remains the most common psychiatric disorder [15]. The presentation of depression differs in older adults compared to younger ones. Older adults with CVD and depression are less likely to present affective symptoms and are more likely to display cognitive changes, somatic symptoms, loss of interest along with dysfunctional defence mechanisms regarding health problems (e.g. refusal to accept actual state of health) and failure to conform to doctor’s instructions than are younger adults [16]. The main concern with COVID-19 lies in this area: It is currently unknown whether older people are more (or less) likely than younger ones to initiate changes in their way of living so to be proactive [17]. Intervening and motivating older people in hygiene adherence and self-care could relate to preventing self-neglect. The impact of self-neglect on health outcomes include mortality, hospitalizations, emergency room visits, functional decline, and becoming victims of other forms of elder abuse such as financial exploitation, caregiver neglect, and physical abuse [18][19]. For the time being, this might mean motivating older people to indulge in the only effective response to COVID-19, social distancing. Social distancing that leads to social isolation in seniors has been linked to increased depression and suicide as well as to increased proinflammatory and decreased anti-viral immune responses [20]. Quarantine measures, in the general population, have raised a number of issues for mental health. In a study in Australia, the COVID-19 impact and quarantine measures include an array of mental health concerns that may aggravate or trigger existing distress [21]. Rates of elevated psychological distress were higher than expected, with 62%, 50%, and 64% of respondents reporting elevated depression, anxiety and stress levels respectively, and one in four reporting elevated health anxiety. Participants with self-reported history of a mental health diagnosis had significantly higher distress, health anxiety, and COVID-19 fears than those without a prior mental health diagnosis. Higher engagement in hygiene behaviours was associated with higher stress and anxiety levels.

As the COVID-19 crisis developed, the psychological impact of COVID-19 related quarantine has been reported to include post-traumatic stress disorder, confusion and frustration [22]. Mental distress, grief and bereavement, deliberate or unintentional harm to family, loss/separation from family, self-injury, shame, guilt, helplessness, addiction or substance use, medical mistrust and inclination towards conspiracies, panic attacks, stress, anxiety, depression, loneliness, suicidal ideation, mood problems, sleep problems, worry, denial, boredom, ambivalence, uncertainty, frustration, anger, fear, stigmatization, marginalization, xenophobia, mass hysteria, socio-economic status, and other mental health concerns have also been reported worldwide [23-28].

Data Collection
We searched PsychInfo, Google Scholar and PUBMED (after 2000 and before August 2020) in the English language using keywords: depression and cardiovascular disease, COVID-19, counselling, intervention, mortality, survival or prognosis, old age, older patients, elderly, depressive symptoms, dysthymia, or mood. This article summarizes contemporary studies relevant to depression and CVD and situates the Covid 19 pandemia as an essential concept for the community of researchers, investigators, and practitioners. This article is not a systematic review, given the expansive nature of the topics of depression and CVD, nor is it a guideline for clinical practice. Rather, this article is a summary of the contemporary science of CVD and depression with the purpose of enhancing the knowledge and awareness of healthcare professionals.

Mechanisms explaining depression link to cardiovascular disease
Throughout the years, various theories based on behavioral and lifestyle or biological patterns, have been developed aiming to shed light on the connection between depression and cardiovascular issues. A short description of the major mechanisms identified follows.

1. Lifestyle and other behavioral elements
Various studies have shown that specific lifestyle and behavioral characteristics of depressed individuals increase the likelihood of CVD. Smoking [29], alcohol consumption [30], absence of any physical and exercise activity [31], unhealthy eating habits, which in many cases lead to obesity [32] and social isolation, and loss (eg. death of a significant other) are factors also responsible for CVD.

2. Biological factors
a. Autonomic dysregulation. Depression and CVD are associated with problems in autonomic functioning (increased activation of the sympathetic nervous system, which may also lead to increased metabolic abnormalities, and relative less activation of the parasympathetic one), which result in tachycardia, reduced heart rate, and hypertension [33].

b. The function of the immune system [34], and the function of the hypothalamic-pituitary axis and the secretion of cytokines, interleukin IL-1 and IL-6. Depression can lead to changes in the central nervous system (CNS) that cause the secretion of neurohormones and activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary axis, resulting in destabilisation of the sympathovagal balance. Suppression of the immune system with the increase in secretion of proinflammatory cytokines can lead to the gradual development of coronary artery disease (CAD), plaque activation, and an acute episode. Hyperactivation of HPA axis and subsequently increased levels of cortisol is well proven in depression [35]. High cortisol levels may be linked to CVD and other chronic conditions, since cortisol is responsible for hypertension, atherosclerosis, prothrombotic incidents and diabetes mellitus [36].

c. The presence of the S allele of the serotonin transporter (5-HTTLPR) [37]. Cardiac dysfunction is caused by a change in the blood concentration of serotonin. The absence of peripheral serotonin synthesis (i.e. absence of serotonin mainly in platelets) is associated with heart failure. Elevated serotonin levels are associated with arrhythmias, possible heart block, and valvular fibrosis [38].
d. **Metabolic syndrome.** This term entails various factors of high risk to cause CVD and diabetes like abdominal obesity, increased blood glucose (hyperglycemia), higher level of blood pressure, increased triglycerides, and decreased high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) [39]. Other studies have presented an association of metabolic dysregulation and depression and its increased contribution to chronic depression [40].

e. **Insulin resistance syndrome.** According to Reaven (2003), individuals suffering from insulin-resistance/hyperinsulinemia are more likely to be (i) intolerant to glucose, (ii) hypertensive, and have a high plasma triglyceride and low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol concentration. These changes are related to increased risk of cardiovascular disease [41]. On the other hand, depressive symptoms, according to a study conducted in China [42] were also found to be positively related to insulin resistance.

f. **Inflammation.** According to Khandaker et al., (2019), the following three conventional cardiovascular inflammation-related risk factors (i) triglycerides (TG), (ii) C-reactive protein (CRP) and (iii) interleukin-6 (IL-6) are likely to be causally linked to depression [43]. These results support the theory that inflammation related to the immune system may be a common mechanism between depression and heart disease.

g. **Arterial wall function.** Increased levels of serotonin have been proven to act as a predictor of coronary artery disease and other cardiac incidents. Since it has a special binding receptor site on the surface of the platelets, serotonin influences greatly the biology of the platelet and its aggregation and therefore is also linked to depressive symptoms, alongside its cardiac effects. Increased platelet aggregation in patients with depression is also related to endothelial dysfunction, which has also been proven to cause CVD [44 -47]. It should be noted that only platelet function and heart rate variability improve under antidepressant therapy (selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors) [62]. In addition, taking drugs which can worsen depression: e.g. beta-blockers, methylidopa, calcium channel blockers, corticosteroids. It is important to note that 40% of those aged >65 years take an average of 5 drugs per week, while 12% take 10 drugs per week, a fact that requires careful prescribing.

Sociodemographic elements like age, gender, financial status, and health conditions seem to play a crucial role in the development of depression, as well as in the presence of cardiovascular disease. Various studies by examining individuals of similar sociodemographic elements (sex, age, education level, finance) have shown that depressed individuals exhibited higher risk to present CVD compared to the non-depressed individuals; although the given risk linked to depression was reduced by 20%, it still remained at a high level. Prognosis improves when depression improves [6]. Potential biological and behavioural mechanisms for this risk have been identified, but no single factor has been shown to account for more than a fraction of the total risk. Further research on the biobehavioural mechanisms linking depression with CVD is needed.

3. **Other mechanisms**

Other factors and events in the life of an individual may also lead to depression and cardiovascular deterioration. History of childhood maltreatment, referring to emotional, physical, or sexual abuse, neglect, and household dysfunction, has proven to be highly related to the onset at a later stage of depression [63]. Maltreatment in childhood has also been proven to act as a highly risk factor for cardiovascular problems [64]. Although, childhood maltreatment may trigger both conditions, this does not prove causality between depression and CVD. Additionally, personality traits such as neuroticism, negative emotionality, and type-D personality have been related to cardiovascular issues as well as depression [47] [65-67].

The prevalence of mental health problems with heart disease is threefold higher than the general population and there is about 80% increase in the risk of developing new or exacerbation of pre-existing CVD (complications or hospitalization) during a perceived or actual threatening or stressful situation such as the advent of COVID-19 [23-28] [67]. The prevalence of depression and anxiety is seen more commonly among individuals with angina, at risk of developing myocardial infarction, stroke, and atrial fibrillation. The increase in anxiety and depression. General anxiety, psychological distress, anger, negative emotions, fear, worry, grief, severe emotional stress [20] can increase the risk of developing CVD and CVD can increase the risk of developing mental health problems [12-17].

**Treatment Methods of Depression in Individuals suffering also from CVD**

A wide range of treatment methods concerning depression in patients with cardiovascular disease is available. Medication, referring mainly to antidepressants, can be combined with other forms of non-pharmacological treatment aiming to increase treatment's efficacy. However, depression in many cases of patients with CVD remains unrecognized, mainly due to the common symptomatology that a patient can present once being hospitalized like sleep disturbance, loss of appetite, and fatigue [47]. The existence of a multi-disciplinary team (cardiologist, neurologist/psychiatrist, nurse, counselor) is important for appropriate treatment to be designed and followed.

1. **Antidepressants: Mainly Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs)**

Medication refers mainly to antidepressants like SSRIs, or SNRIs (serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors). Several studies have been conducted, aiming to prove the efficacy of SSRIs antidepressants such as escitalopram (Lexapro), fluoxetine (Prozac, Sarafem), paroxetine (Paxil, Pexeva, Brisdelle) and sertraline (Zoloft). The efficac of sertraline and escitalopram versus placebo, has been tested in a large number of patients suffering from depression and after having experienced an Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS). Based on the Sertraline Antidepressant Heart-Attack Randomized Trial (SADHART) [68] testing sertraline, and on another trial conducted by Kim et.al, (2018) testing escitalopram, both SSRIs have proven to be effective in reducing symptoms of depression in patients with CVD [69].

Sertraline had a positive effect in the reduction of depression without increasing the risk of negative cardiac effects, while escitalopram led to the reduction of major adverse cardiac events within 6 months after the ACS [60]. Other studies testing the efficac of paroxetine and fluoxetine versus placebo and versus nortriptyline, which belongs to the drug-class of Tricyclic antidepressant (TCA) shared similar results [70]. Paroxetine and Fluoxetine led to the reduction of depressive symptoms, without having the negative effects on cardiac side like in the case of...
nortriptyline, where ventricular arrhythmias have been presented [47]. Tricyclic antidepressants and monoamine-oxidase inhibitors are usually not used for treatment, since these are proven to be unsafe in cases of cardiovascular problems; for instance monoamine-oxidase inhibitors may interact negatively with other drugs used in specific cardiovascular therapies, increasing the risk of hypertension [48].

2. Cognitive Behavior Therapy (CBT), Problem Solving Therapy (PST) & Interpersonal Therapy (IPT)

According to Wang et al., (2010), the American Psychological Association has classified Cognitive Behaviour, Problem Solving and Interpersonal Therapies in the list of modalities having “strong research support” proving their efficac towards depression, which is defined as at least two well-designed clinical trials implemented by independent organizations/investigators [49]. Based on a study conducted by Freedland et al., (2015), patients with cardiac problems and depression after being exposed to CBT, while taking antidepressant at baseline live, managed to reduce depressive symptoms as reported by the Beck Depression Inventory after a period of 6 months. Another study also implemented by Freedland et al., (2009) proved the efficac of CBT in reducing depression severity in patients, who had undergone a coronary artery bypass surgery, regardless whether they were or not on antidepressants medication. Problem Solving therapy, seems to have also prominent results. This form of therapy helps CVD patients to acknowledge everyday problems that lead to depression and to develop the appropriate skills to cope against those. Based on the Coronary Psychosocial Evaluation Study (COPES), patients diagnosed with depression in the last 3 months, after their hospitalization for ACS, were randomly assigned to PST and/or pharmacologic treatment groups. Patients assigned to the PST group, after a period of 6 months, presented increased satisfaction ratings, reduced depressive symptoms and equally important lower rates of adverse cardiac outcomes [50]. Interpersonal therapy (IPT) focuses on revealing the connections between individuals’ interactions and mental health and acknowledging how current relationships and social framework lead to and maintain depressive symptoms. Despite its efficac in treating depression, positive results have not been proven in the cases of depressed patients with CVD. The Canadian Cardiac Randomized Evaluation of Antidepressant and Psychotherapy Efficac trial, during which the efficac of IPT, citalopram, the combination of the two, and usual care have been tested, has shown that IPT had no added value over usual clinical care, while the citalopram presented better results in reducing depression [56].

3. Mindfulness Based Cognitive Therapy (MBCT)

MBCT is a group-based therapy focusing to prevent relapses of currently depressed patients, or on patients who are in remission. This therapy entails elements of CBT and it is enriched with mindfulness-based stress reduction techniques. MBCT evolves during an 8-session program and its aim is to enable patients to adapt to a new way of everyday living routines [52]. According to a study conducted by O’Doherty et al., (2014) depressed patients suffering from coronary heart disease, after the completion of the 8-week MBCT program reported significant improvement on depressive symptoms [53]. At the 6-month follow up, 71% of the MBCT group reported recovery whereas only 50% of the control group had a similar outcome. According to the members assigned to the MBCT, program’s key advantages related to meditation, group support and to the development of optimism. Additionally, according to the evidence-based map conducted by Farah et al., (2016), MBCT presented increased benefits to traditional CBT [54].

4. Relaxation practices

Lifestyle changes aiming to reduce stress through relaxation practices (eg yoga, meditation), are of great significance and may even help to reduce levels of IL-6 in blood tests [55]. Moreover, according to a study conducted by Parswani et al., (2013) on 30 male patients with CHD, practicing mindfulness-based stress reduction techniques, depression and anxiety levels, alongside overall and perceived stress were significantly reduced after the completion of the program, while given results were evident even after a follow up period of 3 months [56].

5. Exercise

Literature provides increasing evidence that exercise is an effective treatment towards depression on patients with CVD. Based on a meta-analysis of 23 randomized clinical trials [49] exercise was linked to positive clinical effects. Additional studies [57] have deciphered the effectiveness of exercise, since their positive results have been associated with reduction of depressive symptoms, lower death ratio of patients with chronic heart failure, and even improved cardiovascular biomarkers affecting positively not only the clinical outcomes, but also the quality of life of respective patients [51] [58] [59].

6. Cardiac rehabilitation

Cardiac rehabilitation programs are medically supervised and aim to improve patients’ quality of life and future living. They may consist of three important elements: (a) exercise counseling and training, (b) education for healthy living patterns related to heart issues and (c) counseling sessions aiming to reduce stress. Respective studies have shown that patients suffering from depression and ACS, after the completion of this type of program have presented a lower mortality rate (summing up to 73%), and reduced depression (summing up to 63%), compared to patients who had not taken part in cardiac rehabilitation programs [60]. Another study by Anderson et al., (2016) showed that exercise performed within the framework of a cardiac rehabilitation program had better results compared to no exercise, in the areas of concerning cardiovascular mortality and hospitalization, while improving the quality of daily living amongst patients with CVD [54].

7. Combined or stepped care approach

The adaptation of therapeutic modalities to the needs of every patient has also proven to be effective. Davidson et al (2013) showed that patients who had developed depression after an acute coronary syndrome, and had selected a combined treatment methodology, had significant beneficial results compared to usual care group, related to reduced depressive symptomatology. The target group of the study comprised of 150 depressed patients with ACS, who have been assigned randomly to centralized depression care for a period of 6 months. Each participant had expressed their preferred treatment approach, problem solving treatment, pharmacotherapy, both, or none -by telephone or via the internet contact [55] [61].
Conclusions and Model of Practice
Depressive symptoms are highly linked to the recovery period following cardiovascular diseases, affecting morbidity and mortality rates as well as the patients’ quality of life. They are also considered a high-risk factor for CVD deployment. Emphasis should be placed on the early acknowledgment of depression in the cases of patients with CVD, therefore the alertness and contribution of medical doctors (e.g. cardiologists) and staff (e.g. nurses) is of great importance. Considering the wide range of treatment methods, the appropriate ones should be selected considering the adverse effects of each modality. Research that might link COVID-19 infection and adaptive intervention strategies on the mental health of CVD patients is essential. Some factors that may be expected to affect treatment response are: fear and attitudes towards the disease (both CVD and COVID-19), outcome expectancies, the intellectual functioning of the patient, relationship with the doctor and treatment history, substance use history, social and family issues. Both CVD and depression are treated as long-term health issues while COVID-19 remains still on a crisis intervention modality. To adhere to this crisis modality but also to its long-term quality we suggest the following model:

1st stage
Assessment: Proper assessment is an integral step in the development of an individualized treatment plan. History of CVD and depression and history of dealing with crisis and behavior patterns when in a crisis might lead to better adherence to designed prevention and intervention plans for patients. Old maladaptive choices and failures in treatments must be clarified so to gather information and match patients to appropriate treatments but also to monitor the progress and effectiveness of treatment. This can be done through screening, so to determine in a time- and cost-efficient manner if a potential problem exists and requires further evaluation. Use of DSM-V [21], interviews or self-administered questionnaires [71] can give a first indication of the level and reason of psychological distress. Some proponents of screening [9] suggest that systematic screening should be performed only when there is an available and consistent resource for treatment available for patients who screen positive, such as collaborative care programs. Such a policy would ensure that positive-screen patients would receive a more thorough depression evaluation and, if depression were diagnosed, would be able to obtain longitudinal treatment. Recommendations for screening and treatment for depression should be population specific since results from one patient group may not generalize to others. Screening tools and cutoff scores that optimize diagnostic accuracy in primary care, for instance, may not be appropriate for patients with CVD since some heart disease symptoms may overlap or be confused with symptoms of depression [72]. An evaluation of self-neglect especially for the elderly could give an indication of proactive measures for COVID-19 and promote good practice. For example the Elder Self-Neglect Assessment (ESNA) might give an indication of the ability of the person for self-care [73].

2nd stage
Triage to decide the appropriate setting and intensity of treatment (e.g. counselling while inpatient, or pharmacological treatment at home). For example, the prevalence of depression and anxiety is more common among individuals with angina at risk of developing myocardial infarction, stroke, and atrial fibrillation [74]. Cardiac rehabilitation with relaxation exercises could be of benefit during COVID-19.

3rd stage
Treatment planning, to establish individualized treatment goals and interventions directed to identified problem areas. This is the phase to assess to the person’s difficult to self-care or to address his/her difficulties relating to behavioral patterns or living arrangements related to COVID 19 especially for the elderly. A combined-stepped care approach would be of benefit in cases of self-neglect. We recommend establishing a self-care plan for every patient. Self-care in CVD and stroke prevention and management are captured in the American Heart Association (AHA) “Life’s Simple 7” (i.e., smoking cessation, maintenance of body mass index [BMI], physical activity, healthy diet, maintaining low cholesterol, maintaining normal blood pressure [BP], and maintaining normal fasting plasma glucose) [74]. These behaviors have been shown to reduce incident stroke [75] heart failure [76], venous thromboembolism [77] and chronic kidney disease [78].

4th stage
Contingency management: outcome monitoring, which addresses response to treatment and whether the patient requires further or different treatment. Even the exploration of possible plans for the future may enhance a future oriented mentality. The worried-well patient (afraid of COVID 19 infection) might benefit at this stage from exercise protocols so to ascertain his physical strength. Sustaining a cardiac rehabilitation program or expanding time wise its offer to patients might be of benefit. As Riegel et al (2017) point out knowledge, skills, confidence, and motivation are required to effectively perform self-care [79]. What might impede self-care includes depression, poor self-efficacy, cognitive decline, and multimorbidity. Lack of social support is the most important family-level factor influencing self-care while lack of social capital at the community-level limits access and affordability of care (figure 1).

Conclusion
We attempted a presentation of the existing research on the topic of depression and CVD and tried to share a model that might foster intervention in the time of the COVID-19 pandemic. We stated that suffering from depression when a CVD patient in the COVID-19 era may impose unpredicted hardship to the individual. Gaining control and having choices in treatment might help deal with uncertainty and foster resilience.

Figure 1.
A model of staged care for people suffering from CVD and depression during COVID-19.
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